



AF *[signature]*

IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT APPLICATION

Josef Schmid

CASE 3

Serial No. 10/075868

Group Art Unit 2138

Filed February 13, 2002

Examiner J. J. Tabone, Jr.

Title Boundary Scan Delay Chain For Cross-Chip Delay Measurement

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

SIR:

Enclosed is an amendment in the above-identified application.

NO ADDITIONAL FEE REQUIRED

In the event of non-payment or improper payment of a required fee, the Commissioner is authorized to charge or to credit **Deposit Account No. 12-2325** as required to correct the error.

Respectfully,

Martin I. Finston
Attorney for the Applicant
Reg. No. 31613
(973)-386-3147

Date: 7-17-06

Docket Administrator (Room 3J-219)
Lucent Technologies Inc.
101 Crawfords Corner Road
Holmdel, NJ 07733-3030

PT 16 (10/01)

Page 1 of 1

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Mail Stop AF, Director of the US Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

7/18/2006

Margaret Cardoso
Margaret Cardoso



Serial No. 10/075,868

Response under 37CFR1.116
Expedited Procedure
Examining Group 2138

IN THE UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application

Inventor(s) Josef Schmid

Case 3

Serial No. 10/075,868

Group Art 2138

File Date February 13, 2002

Examiner John J. Tabone, Jr.

Title Boundary Scan Delay Chain For Cross-Chip
Delay Measurement

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
WASHINGTON, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL

In response to the Office Action dated April 27, 2006, please consider the following remarks on the above-identified patent application as follows.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Director of the US Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

7/18/2006.

Margaret Cardoso
Margaret Cardoso

Introductory Comments:

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 22 to 28 under 35 U.S.C. section 112, first paragraph, as not complying with the written description requirement. The Examiner also rejected claims 22-28 under 35 U.S.C. section 112, second paragraph for indefiniteness.